- 6. Condie, K. C. Precambr. Res. 32, 261-278 (1986)
- Hoffman, P. F. in Decade of North American Geology Vol. A (eds Bally, A. W. & Palmer, A. R.) 447-512 (Geological Society of America, Boulder, Colorado, 1989) Dimroth, E. et al. Can. J. Earth Sci. 20, 1374-1388 (1983).
- Jensen, L. S. Spec. Pap. geol. Ass. Can. 28, 65-87 (1985)
- 10. Hodgson, C. J. Trans. Inst. Miner. Metall. 95, B183-194 (1986).
- 11. Percival, J. A. & Card, K. D. Geology 11, 323-326 (1983).
- 12. Percival, J. A. et al. Nature 342, 416-419 (1989)
- 13. Barley, M. E. et al. Geology 17, 826-829 (1989). 14. Gibbs, A. K. et al. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 95, 280-294 (1984).
- 15. Gibbs, A. K. et al. Am. geophys. Un. Geodyn. Ser. 14, 95-106 (1986). 16. Boland, A. et al. Nature 335, 711-713 (1988).

- Johand, M. E. Mem, geol. Surv. Can. 315 (1962).
  Fountain, D. M., Salisbury, M. H. & Percival, J. A. J. geophys. Res. (in the press).
- 19. Parker, C. L. thesis, McGill Univ. (1984).
- Hubert, C., Trudel, P. & Gelinas, L. Can. J. Earth Sci. 21, 1024-1032 (1984).
  Corfu, F. et al. Can. J. Earth Sci. 26, 1747-1763.
- 22. Ludden, J. & Hubert, C. Geology 14, 707-711 (1986)
- 23. Cannon, W. C. et al. Tectonics 8, 305-322 (1989)
- 24. Mortensen, J. K., Theriault, R. J. & Card, K. C. Geol. Ass. Can. A. Meet. Prog. Abstr. 13, A87 (1988).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS, We thank Drs K, D, Card and J, A, Percival for reviews of an earlier draft of this manuscript, P. Hurley, G. Gauthier and numerous others involved in the acquisition of the seismic and Veritas Geophysical Ltd and Veritas Seismic Ltd for collecting and processing our data. The 1987-88 LITHOPROBE survey was funded by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Geological Survey of Canada, Ontario Geological Survey, Ministère de l'Energie et des Ressources du Québec, and Minnova Inc.

## Female sticklebacks use male coloration in mate choice and hence avoid parasitized males

#### Manfred Milinski & Theo C. M. Bakker

University of Bern, Zoologisches Institut, Abteilung Verhaltensökologie, Wohlenstrasse 50a, CH-3032 Hinterkappelen, Switzerland

AN important problem in evolutionary biology since the time of Darwin has been to understand why females preferentially mate with males handicapped by secondary sexual ornaments<sup>1-3</sup>. One hypothesis of sexual selection theory is that these ornaments reliably reveal the male's condition<sup>4-6</sup>, which can be affected for example by parasites<sup>4,7-13</sup>. Here we show that in the three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) the intensity of male red breeding coloration positively correlates with physical condition. Gravid females base their active mate choice on the intensity of the male's red coloration. Choice experiments under green light prevent the use of red colour cues by females, and males that were previously preferred are now chosen no more than randomly, although the courtship behaviour of the males remains unchanged. Parasitization causes a deterioration in the males' condition and a decrease in the intensity of their red coloration. Tests under both lighting conditions reveal that the females recognize the formerly parasitized males by the lower intensity of their breeding coloration. Female sticklebacks possibly select a male with a good capacity for paternal care<sup>14</sup> but if there is additive genetic variation for parasite resistance, then they might also select for resistance genes, as proposed by Hamilton and Zuk<sup>4</sup>.

At the start of the breeding season, male three-spined sticklebacks develop a bright red coloration due to carotenoids<sup>15</sup>, and it has been shown that females prefer artificially coloured males over colourless males<sup>16</sup>. In another fish species, the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*), female choice is based not only on the expression of these pigments<sup>17-21</sup>, which may be indicative of fitness<sup>17</sup>, but also on courtship behaviour<sup>22,23</sup>.

Twenty-four male three-spined sticklebacks with developed breeding coloration were placed individually into tanks  $(17.8 \text{ cm} \times 34.5 \text{ cm}, \text{ with a water level of } 16.5 \text{ cm} \text{ and } \text{at a tem-}$ perature of about 18 °C) separated by grey opaque partitions. Each pair of tanks was illuminated for 16 hours per day by a 60 W reflector bulb (Osram Concentra PAR-EC). Each male was stimulated with a ripe female enclosed in a plexiglass cell  $(11 \text{ cm} \times 7.5 \text{ cm}, \text{ water level 16 cm})$  placed close to the front wall of its tank for five minutes daily to accelerate its nestbuilding behaviour<sup>24</sup>. After six days, all the males had a complete nest built in a Petri dish provided close to the backwall and were courting vigorously.

Two students scored the intensity of the red breeding coloration on a 10-point scale (1, dullest male; 10, brightest male) for each male when it courted a female. There was general agreement between the students (r = 0.71). Males designated 1 and 2, 3 and 4, and so on, according to increasing colour rank, were defined as pairs for presentation to ripe females. To avoid the right male always being brighter, positions were randomized within pairs. In a separate tank positioned centrally in front of each pair of neighbouring tanks, the cell containing a single gravid female was placed; her choosing process between the two males was video-recorded for a 5-min period after 1 min of acclimatization. Females were previously selected for their readiness to spawn, that is, to adopt and maintain the head-up courtship posture while pointing towards one of the two males. On each day before we gave a female the opportunity to choose between males, we estimated the difference of red colour between the members of each pair on a 5-point scale (0, no difference; 1, slight but distinct difference; 2, pronounced difference, and intermediates). Each of four females chose between each of the 12 pairs of males (female 1 made 12 choices on day 1, female 2 made 12 choices on day 2, and so on). The



Colour intensity (brighter-duller male)

FIG. 1 a, Correlation between the intensity of red breeding coloration (average score of 2 students) and the condition factor (100 × weight (g)/length (cm)<sup>2.76</sup>) of 24 reproductive male sticklebacks (y = 1.93 + 0.056x,  $r^2 = 0.44$ , F = 17.27, d.f. = 1,22, P < 0.0004, 1-tailed). The condition factor, used as a standard practice in fisheries ecology, is regarded as a good indicator of the general well-being of teleost fishes<sup>37</sup>. It assumes that heavier fish of a given length are in better condition. b, Correlation between the difference in colour intensity scored daily of 12 pairs (brighter-duller male) and active female choice for the brighter male (measured in seconds, see text) by 4 different females, one on each day. The pooled regression is significant (y = 151.0 + 59.1x,  $r^2 = 0.14$ , F = 7.62, d.f. = 1,46, P < 0.004, 1-tailed). Pooling of females is allowed for<sup>28</sup> and recommended<sup>38</sup>, because the slopes of regression lines of the 4 females were not significantly different (F = 0.60, d.f. = 3,40, P = 0.62, 2-tailed) and the subsequent analysis of covariance revealed no significant differences either (F = 2.13, d.f. = 3,43, P=0.11, 2-tailed).

intensity of the red breeding coloration correlated significantly positively with the males' condition factor (Fig. 1*a*). Therefore, females could judge the well-being of a male from the intensity of its red coloration. Although the experiment was designed so that the males of each pair were very similar in coloration, there was a significant preference by the females for the brighter male in each pair, this preference being intensified as the difference in coloration increased (Fig. 1*b*).

To investigate whether this preference is ultimately based on coloration or on some related character such as courtship behaviour, we repeated the same experiment using 15 new pairs of males; females could choose between males under white light and under such light conditions that they were almost unable to assess differences in the intensity of red coloration (males and females had not been used in the previous experiment). To achieve this, males and females were kept under white and green light (Osram Concentra PAR-EC Belcolor, 80 W) on alternate days. After the 30 males had built nests in their individual tanks, we ordered the tanks according to the intensity of the inhabitant's red coloration; six students then estimated the difference in red colour between the members of each pair on the 5-point scale (median value of all possible correlations among the students, r = 0.77). The difference in intensity of coloration (brighterduller male) correlated positively with the difference in condition (Fig. 2a).

Under white light, each of 13 different ripe females was allowed to choose between males from as many different pairs as she was reliably willing to (3.5 pairs per female on average), as determined by her head-up posture during the whole trial. Hence, the first female was allowed to choose between the males of the dullest pair, thereafter between the males of the brighter neighbouring pair and so on, until she had to be replaced by the second female, and so on. Under green light, 11 other females were used (4.0 pairs per female). Thus, each pair of males was confronted with three different females in either experiment. To establish whether the courtship behaviour of the males varies under the different light conditions, we confronted each male alone with the cell containing a ripe female under both green and white light for a 4-min period. The number of zigzags performed in the male's courtship display<sup>25</sup>, regarded as a reliable measure of male courtship intensity<sup>26,27</sup>, was counted during the last three minutes. The males' courtship intensity did not differ significantly in the two lighting situations (mean number of zigzags under white light, 46.5, s.d. = 28.9; under green light, 42.1, s.d. = 25.7; P > 0.10, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test, 2-tailed) and differences between pair members did not change significantly (zigzags for the brighter male of a pair, 61.5%, s.d. = 28.6, under white light; and 53.0%, s.d. = 29.2, under green light; P > 0.10, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test, 2-tailed). Also the females' willingness to react to male courtship as depicted by duration of head-up posture was not influenced by the type of light (3.5 pairs per female and 4.0 pairs per female, respectively; see above). A female that was allowed to enter the male's territory under green light went through the normal spawning sequence immediately.

Under white light, females preferred the redder male again (Fig. 2b), whereas under green light the trend in favour of the brighter males was not significant (Fig. 2c); the slope of the regression under white light is significantly greater than that under green light (F = 2.99, d.f. = 1,26, P = 0.05, 1-tailed). This indicates that the females based their choice primarily on differences in male red coloration. This makes sense functionally, because colour intensity correlates significantly with condition (see Fig. 2a; partial correlation<sup>28</sup> when courtship intensity was kept constant, r = 0.54, P < 0.03, 1-tailed), whereas courtship intensity does not correlate significantly with condition (r = 0.00, P > 0.10, 1-tailed; partial correlation when colour intensity kept constant, r = -0.23, P > 0.10, 1-tailed). The conclusion that female choice is based mainly on colour cues is confirmed by partial correlations under white light. The



FIG. 2 Difference in the intensity of red breeding coloration of 15 pairs of reproductive male sticklebacks (brighter-duller male) (average score of 6 students) in relation to: a, the difference in condition factor (100 × weight (g)/length (cm)<sup>2.89</sup>) (y = -0.034 + 0.192x,  $r^2 = 0.25$ , F = 4.25, d.f. = 1,13, P < 1000.03, 1-tailed); b, average active female choice of the brighter male by 3 different females per pair (13 females in total) under white light (y= 125.09 + 120.2x,  $r^2 = 0.38$ , F = 7.97, d.f. = 1,13, P < 0.01, 1-tailed); c, average active female choice of the brighter male by 3 different females per pair (11 females in total) under green light (y = 144.80 + 26.17x,  $r^2 = 0.04$ , F=0.60, d.f.=1,13, P=0.75, 2-tailed). The 15 average choices under either light condition are treated as independent sample units because the experiment shown in Fig. 1b did not reveal significant differences among females choosing between 12 pairs of males. To test whether the intensity of the green light (330 lx at the botton of the tank) was sufficient to prevent females from dropping below the point at which the Purkinje shift occurs, when their ability to distinguish different intensities of red, for example, would be impeded, we submitted three females to a discrimination test under white light at a lowered intensity of 160 lx. Two red colour cards (1.7 × 2.1 cm) of the same hue and grey value, but of different colour intensities (Munsell System no. 7.5 R, 5/14 and no. 7.5 R, 5/2) were presented simultaneously to the fish and positions were alternated randomly. Approaching the less-red card was rewarded with food. In 50 training sessions, two fish achieved 80% and one 100% correct responses (approaching the less-red card within a distance of 5 cm before a reward) in the last 10 trials (as chi2=2.31, d.f.=2, P=0.32, 2-tailed, reveals homogeneity among the 3 females, the 30 choices were pooled, 26:4 was significantly different from 1:1, chi<sup>2</sup>=16.13, d.f.=1, P<0.001, 1-tailed). Thus, even at half the intensity of the green light, females could discriminate between different intensities of red colour when in white light.

correlation between choice and intensity of red coloration when intensity of courtship is kept constant is r = 0.54 (P < 0.03, 1-tailed), whereas the almost significant correlation between choice and intensity of courtship (r = 0.42, P = 0.06, 1-tailed) is far from significant when intensity of red coloration is kept constant (r = 0.25, P > 0.10, 1-tailed). Also, in guppies no correlation between orange breeding coloration and display rate has been found<sup>20</sup>, but contrary to our results, female guppies respond not only to the colour of the males' orange spots, but also to their contrast against background skin under filtered light<sup>29</sup>.

To investigate whether parasites influence both the males' red breeding coloration and the result of active female choice, we infested the brighter male of each pair with the ciliate *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis*, a serious and widespread fish disease known as 'white-spot'<sup>30</sup>. On five successive days, 50 ml of water contaminated with tomites (the infective stage) were taken from the tank of a formerly heavily infected stickleback and poured twice daily into the tank of each fish. Uncontaminated aliquots of water were added to the tanks of control fish. After several days, infected fish developed from a few to about 50 visible white cysts, which dropped off the fish after a few days. Two fish died after infection. To prevent reinfection, all tanks (including those of control fish) were treated with *Faunamor*. Surviving fish continued to court stimulus females. Four of the six students



FIG. 3 Median difference of 13 pairs of reproductive male sticklebacks (infected - control) before parasitization of the brighter male with I. multifiliis and after recovery from the parasite a, in the intensity of red breeding coloration (average score of 4 students) before (median correlation between students, r = 0.60) and after infection (median r = 0.59) (the same students had difficulties in finding differences in intensity of the red coloration between the males after the more brightly coloured male of each pair had been parasitized. As the students' ability to detect differences should have improved with experience, the students' second scoring was conservative); and b, in the condition factor (100 × weight (g)/length (cm)<sup>2.89</sup>). Median active female choice of the infected male of each pair before parasitization with I. multifiliis and after recovery from the parasite c, by 3 different females (1 female per pair) under white light, and d, by 4 different females (1 female per pair) under green light. Before parasitization females chose the brighter males significantly longer under white light than under green light (P < 0.01, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test, 1-tailed). After parasitization the respective difference was not significant (P>0.10, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test, 2-tailed). This is expected because both the colour difference (Fig. 3a) and the condition difference (Fig. 3b) between the males had almost disappeared after parasitization of the brighter male. Bars give quartiles, dotted line indicates no preference, probabilities after Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks tests, 1-tailed, N.S. P>0.10.

Parasitization caused a significant decrease in the intensity of the males' red coloration (Fig. 3a) and in their condition factor (Fig. 3b). Females significantly reduced their earlier preference for the males that were formerly brighter under white light (Fig. 3c), but under green light the males' parasitization had no significant effect on female choice (Fig. 3d). This implies that the females detected the prior parasitization of the males by their decreased intensity of breeding coloration, which is a necessary condition for coloration to be judged as a revealing handicap<sup>4,6</sup>.

Under natural conditions, brighter males might obtain better territories by dominance interactions, a factor that was excluded in this study. Intersexual selection on male stickleback red breeding coloration seems, however, to be more important than intrasexual selection, because male sticklebacks develop more erythrophores<sup>31</sup> and 'flush' their red colours more strongly<sup>32</sup> after presentation with a ripe female than after exposure to a rival male. Furthermore, the overall intensity and intermale variation of red coloration is greatest during the courtship stage of the breeding cycle<sup>33</sup>. By contrast to the males, the females' visual sensitivity for red coloration periodically increases at the beginning of the reproductive season and reaches a higher level than that of males<sup>34</sup>.

The females probably did not make use of the male's courtship intensity for their decision-making because courtship intensity is a poor predictor of condition. Perhaps even a sick or convalescent male can muster energy for the display when need arises, but it is harder for him to bluff the long-term drain on his resources revealed by his lack of colour. Nevertheless, the zigzag display may help the females to recognize a reproductive male stickleback.

In all, the intensity of the red breeding coloration seems to be a revealing handicap<sup>4,6</sup> for a male's condition because it correlates significantly positively with the condition of our wildcaught males and decreases when the males' condition is experimentally reduced by parasitization. Therefore, any agent (including parasites) influencing a male stickleback's condition probably affects the intensity of its breeding coloration and consequently the female's choice. Why do female sticklebacks prefer males of superior condition? As the male cares for the eggs and the fry for about 10 days after spawning<sup>26</sup>, she might prefer a strong male with a high probability of survival for this period<sup>14</sup>. Even if she prefers a strong male for paternal care, she cannot avoid simultaneously selecting for genes favourable for parasite resistance if there is additive genetic variation for parasite resistance in the population. Although present evidence is ambiguous with respect to I. multifiliis<sup>35</sup>, there are indications of such a variation in fish<sup>36</sup>. Therefore the Hamilton-Zuk process<sup>4</sup> may be an auxiliary factor in species with paternal care.

Received 2 October; accepted 18 December 1989.

- 2. Kirkpatrick, M. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 18, 43-70 (1987).
- Maynard-Smith, J. J. theor. Biol. 115, 1-8 (1985).
  Hamilton, W. D. & Zuk, M. Science 218, 384-387 (1982).
- 5. Zahavi, A. J. theor. Biol. 53, 205-214 (1975).
- 6. Andersson, M. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 17, 375-393 (1982).
- 7. Read, A. F. Nature 328, 68-70 (1987).
- 8. Ward, P. I. Anim. Behav. 36, 1210-1215 (1988)
- 9. Ward, P. I. Oikos 55, 428-429 (1989).
- 10. Read, A. F. & Harvey, P. H. Nature 339, 618-620 (1989).
- 11. Pomiankowski, A. Nature 338, 115-116 (1989).
- 12. Endler, J. A. & Lyles, A. M. Trends Ecol. Evol. 4, 246-248 (1989).

Bradbury, J. W. & Andersson, M. B. (eds) Sexual Selection: Testing the Alternatives (Wiley, New York, 1987).

- 14. Heywood, J. S. Evolution 43, 1387-1397 (1989).
- 15. Brush, A. H. & Reisman, H. M. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 14, 121-125 (1965).
- 16. Semler, D. E. J. Zool. 165, 291-302 (1971).
- 17. Endler, J. A. Evolution **34**, 76–91 (1980). 18. Endler, J. A. Env. Biol. Fish. **9**, 173–190 (1983)
- 19. Kodric-Brown, A. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 17, 199-205 (1985).
- 20. Houde, A. E. Evolution 41, 1-10 (1987).
- 21. Houde, A. E. Anim. Behav. 36, 510-516 (1988)
- Kennedy, C. E. J., Endler, J. A., Poynton, S. L. & McMinn, H. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 21, 291–295 (1987).
- 23. Bischoff, R. J., Gould, J. L. & Rubenstein, D. I. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 17, 253-255 (1985).
- Wootton, R. J. The Biology of the Sticklebacks (Academic, London, 1976).
  ter Pelkwijk, J. J. & Tinbergen, N. Z. Tierpsychol. 1, 193–200 (1937).
- ter Pelkwijk, J. J. & Tinbergen, N. Z. Tierpsychol. 1, 193-200
  van Iersel, J. J. A. Behaviour Suppl. 3, 1–159 (1953).
- Van Iersel, J. J. A. Behaviour Suppl. 3, 1–159 (198)
  Sevenster, P. Behaviour Suppl. 9, 1–170 (1961).
- 28. Sokal, R. R. & Rohlf, F. J. Biometry 2nd edn (Freeman, New York, 1981).
- 29. Long, K. D. & Houde, A. E. Ethology 82, 316-324 (1989).
- 30. Smyth, J. D. Introduction to Animal Parasitology (Hodder and Stoughton, London, 1985).
- 31. Reisman, H. M. Copeia 1968, 816-826 (1968).
- 32. Bakker, T. C. M. Behaviour 98, 1-144 (1986).
- 33. McLennan, D. A. & McPhail, J. D. Can. J. Zool. 67, 1767-1777 (1989).
- 34. Cronly-Dillon, J. & Sharma, S. C. J. exp. Biol. 49, 679-687 (1968).
- 35. McCallum, H. I. Parasitology 85, 475-488 (1982).
- Price, D. J. J. Fish Biol. 26, 509–519 (1985).
  Bolger, T. & Connolly, P. L. J. Fish Biol. 34, 171–182 (1989)
- 38. Miller, R. G. Jr Beyond ANOVA, Basics of Applied Statistics (Wiley, New York, 1986).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We thank J. A. Endler, W. D. Hamilton, P. H. Harvey, L. Partridge, M. Petrie and M. Zuk for their advice on the manuscript, H. Riedwyl for most of the statistical work, O. Lassière for improving our English and eight students for scoring the sticklebacks' breeding coloration.

# Effects on lower trophic levels of massive fish mortality

### Michael J. Vanni<sup>\*</sup>, Chris Luecke<sup>\*</sup>, James F. Kitchell, Yvonne Allen, Jo Temte & John J. Magnuson

Center for Limnology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

PREDATION can be a potent force structuring ecological communities and affecting several trophic levels<sup>1-7</sup>. The cascading trophic interactions hypothesis predicts that lacustrine predators such as fish can have a strong effect on herbivorous zooplankton, which in turn can regulate phytoplankton<sup>8,9</sup>. Ascertaining the scale, scope and generality of this hypothesis is important for both development of ecological theory and aquatic ecosystem management<sup>10</sup>. Although small-scale tests of parts of this cascade are common<sup>11-15</sup>, whole-lake assessments are not, particularly in large, natural lakes"; also the influence of several trophic levels and nutrients, a requisite for unambiguous interpretation of predator effects, has not been considered. Here we present data from a natural experiment in Lake Mendota, Wisconsin, USA, which support the cascading trophic interactions hypothesis. Massive mortality of fish greatly reduced predation on zooplankton, resulting in an increase in the abundance of large Daphnia, and a dramatic decrease in phytoplankton biomass. Physical factors and concentrations of limiting nutrients were unchanged before and after fish mortality, indicating that these factors probably did not cause the observed decrease in phytoplankton. Our results demonstrate strong food web influences on phytoplankton, and support the idea that food web interactions can be managed to reduce phytoplankton abundance.

In Lake Mendota, Wisconsin, an unusually warm summer (1987) resulted in massive mortality of planktivorous fish. We have compared the relative abundance of fish, zooplankton, phytoplankton and dissolved nutrients before and after fish mortality, to assess the effects fish can have on freshwater planktonic communities.

Seasonal dynamics of the Lake Mendota food web were relatively consistent from 1978-1986 (refs 16, 17). Dominant planktivorous fish were cisco, *Coregonus artedii*, and yellow

### LETTERS TO NATURE

TABLE 1 Nutrient limitation indicators of Lake Mendota phytoplankton

Experiment	Biomass response (treatment/control)	Nutrient uptake rate*	
		Phosphorus	Nitrogen
Before cisco die-off			
24-28 July 1986	4.3	1.3	14.6
21-25 August 1986	4.8	1.5	16.6
4-8 August 1987	3.2	ND	ND
After cisco die-off			
7-11 July 1988	1.0	0.8	5.0
5-8 August 1988	0.9	1.4	5.3

The table demonstrates that Lake Mendota phytoplankton were more nutrient-limited before rather than after the cisco die-off. Nutrient limitation was estimated in two ways in each experiment: (1) increase in phytoplankton biomass when nutrients were added, relative to controls with no nutrient additions; and (2) rates of nutrient uptake by phytoplankton. In both cases, higher values indicate more severe nutrient limitation. Lake phytoplankton were incubated in clear plastic enclosures (19 litres) at 50% surface light intensity. Nutrients (80  $\mu$ M nitrogen as NH4NO3 and 3.5  $\mu$ M phosphorus as KH2PO3) were added to some enclosures and others served as controls. Enclosures were sampled 3–4 days later for phytoplankton biomass (chlorophyll a concentration) and nutrient concentrations<sup>17</sup>. To calculate uptake rates, it was assumed that all N and P added to enclosures and not remaining in solution was taken up by phytoplankton. Biomass response refers to the final concentration of chlorophyll a in control enclosures (no nutrients added). ND, indicates that nutrient uptake rates were not determined.

\* Nutrient uptake rate measured as  $\mu M$  per  $\mu g$  of chlorophyll per day to standardize for phytoplankton biomass.

perch, Perca flavescens<sup>18</sup>. Dominant zooplankton were cyclopoid copepods in early spring, Daphnia galeata mendotae in late spring, and cyclopoid and calanoid copepods in summer<sup>16,19</sup>. Diet analyses and bioenergetics modelling showed that cisco was the dominant zooplanktivore in spring, accounting for >50% of predatory mortality of Daphnia. Both perch and cisco were important zooplanktivores in summer, and both selectively prey on Daphnia over other zooplankton<sup>18</sup>. Phytoplankton seasonal succession during the years 1978-1986 was typical of eutrophic lakes<sup>16,17</sup>. After ice-out, a bloom of diatoms and flagellates developed, followed by a clear water period<sup>20</sup> (low phytoplankton biomass and high water transparency). Daphnia declined in late spring, and remained rare through the summer, during which time phytoplankton biomass was high and dominated by blue-green algae<sup>16</sup>. The spring clear water period was always associated with the annual maximum abundance of Daphnia galeata mendotae<sup>16</sup>. Experiments showed that Daphnia have higher grazing rates than other Lake Mendota zooplankton and that *Daphnia* grazing causes the clear water period<sup>17</sup>.

In 1987 we initiated a study of the Lake Mendota food web, with the aim of understanding the factors controlling the seasonal dynamics of plankton, and applying them in the effective management of algal biomass. We monitored all trophic levels of the planktonic food web and potential limiting nutrients, during 1987 and 1988. In late August and September 1987, a massive mortality of cisco occurred<sup>18</sup>. This die-off, combined with modelling and smaller-scale experimentation, presented an opportunity to observe and interpret the effects of predator reduction on lower trophic levels. Almost the entire cisco population died during this time, as evidenced by gill-net catches (see legend to Fig. 1) and acoustic estimates of cisco abundance, which showed that cisco biomass declined from 239 kg per hectare in 1987 to 13 kg per hectare in 1988 (P. Jacobson and J.J.M., unpublished data). Cisco mortality was probably due to unusually warm epilimnetic temperatures and depletion of hypolimnetic oxygen.

Effects of the cisco die-off on *Daphnia* were dramatic (Fig. 1). The large-bodied *Daphnia pulicaria*, extremely rare in the lake for the previous decade, became abundant and replaced the smaller *D. galeata mendotae*. The increase in *Daphnia* biomass and body size resulted in a 2-3-fold increase in grazing rates on phytoplankton (average from April-September), based on the relationship between body size and individual grazing rate<sup>21</sup>. The *Daphnia* grazing rate for April 1988 was ten times that of the April 1987 *Daphnia* grazing rate.

<sup>13.</sup> Read, A. Trends Ecol. Evol. 3, 97-101 (1988).

<sup>\*</sup> Present addresses: Department of Zoology, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio 45056, USA (M.J.V.), and Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322, USA (C.L.).